

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,256	06/22/2001	Masaaki Mawatari	210241US0	5541
22850	7590 03/27/2003			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. EXAMINER			NER	
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary	Examiner '	Group Art Unit	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears or	the cover sheet benea	ath the correspondence ad	ldress-
P riod for Reply	4.		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO E OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	XPIRE My 1	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAI	LING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expanding to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	within the statutory minimur upire SIX (6) MONTHS from the up cause the application to be up date of this communication	m of thirty (30) days will be conside the mailing date of this communic come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § , even if timely, may reduce any e	dered timely. ation. 133). arned patent
Status	02/22/	1.11/2 10/2	
Status  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04/19/0/	00/21/01	2/4/02 /2/3	OJOZ.
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .			
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C</li> </ul>	r formal matters, <b>prosec</b> .D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ution as to the merits is c	losed in
Disposition of Claims			
☑ Claim(s)		is/are pending in the app	lication.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from co	nsideration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
□ Claim(s) $1-3$ □ Claim(s) $4-6$		is/are rejected.	
☑ Claim(s) 4- 4		is/are objected to.	
☐ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction	or election
Application Papers		requirement	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	$\_$ is $\square$ approved $\square$	disapproved.	
$\Box$ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und	ier 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d	1).	
☑ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:			
Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	eived.		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	eived in Application No.	•	
□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received		
in this national stage application from the International E	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
*Certified copies not received:			·
Atta hment(s)	n /		
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s		rview Summary, PTO-413	
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ Noti	ce of Informal Patent Applic	ation, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Oth	r	
•			
Office Act	on Summary		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 4-6 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend upon another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-6 have not been further treated on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are indefinite in that the basis upon which the recited contents were determined is not apparent.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 5,143,983 (Yamagishi et al).

Yamagishi et al disclose heat resistant polyamide films obtained from a polyamide composition comprising (A) a polyamide composed of diaminobutane and adipic acid, i.e., nylon 4,6, and (B) a semi-aromatic polyamide composed of an aromatic dicarboxylic acid and an

Application/Control Number: 09/886,256

Art Unit: 1711

aliphatic diamine, in a weight ratio of (A):(B) of 99.9:0.1 to 30:70. As the aliphatic diamine, any diamine having from 2 to 12 carbon atoms can be employed. As the aromatic dicarboxylic acid, terephthalic acid is listed as a preferred acid (column 3, lines 34-47).

In essence, the disclosure of Yamagishi et al differs from the presently claimed invention in not expressly exemplifying a semi-aromatic polyamide composed of at least one of 1,9nonanediamine and 2-methyl-1-1,8-octanediamine with terephthalic acid. The general disclosure of the reference, however, clearly embraces the utilization of aliphatic diamines having 9 carbon atoms in the production of the semi-aromatic polyamide, the latter preferably being derived from terephthalic acid (column 3, lines 34-35). Accordingly, it would have been within the sphere of obviousness encompassed by the general disclosure of the reference, to one having ordinary skill in the art, to have produced a polyamide composition comprising nylon 4,6 in conjunction with a polyamide meeting the requirements of the presently claimed component (B) with the reasonable expectation of success.

If applicants can establish, via affidavit or declaration, that results other than the expected additive effect of the two polyamides are obtained, than a more favorable consideration would be given to the claims commensurate in scope with the showing. In this regard, it is noted that the results proffered by way of applicants' experimental data are not probative of unusual or unexpected results due to the absence of data pertaining to the nylon 4,6 alone and to the semiaromatic polyamide alone.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (703) 308-2401. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/886,256

Art Unit: 1711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-8/183.

Ana L. Woodward

Examiner Art Unit 1711

AW

March 20, 2003